

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,870	09/22/2003	Francesco Coppola	TI-34641	1168	
23494	7590 01/31/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			CHANG, JOSEPH		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2817		
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A.	1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	~
Office Action Commence	10/667,870	COPPOLA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Joseph Chang	2817	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	TION.  De timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No.	ovember 200 <u>5</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 9/22/03 with correction		ted or b) Objected to by the	
Examiner.	<u></u>	iod of by the	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,,	,(=),(=)	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		cation No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not rece	eived.	
•			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mai	il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	arr atent Apphoalion (CTO-102)	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al. in view of Jansson.

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Nelson et al. disclose in Figure 3 and paragraphs [0021]-[0024] a method of calibrating a voltage controlled oscillator (24) for generating a first clock signal (VCO output) comprising the steps of: determining an initial control word to configure the bank (VCO operating curves) using a search in which the voltage controlled oscillator is operated at a single predetermined control voltage (Vref) for various capacitive settings of the bank (Para.[0024]); testing the initial control word to

Art Unit: 2817

determine whether the frequency range produced by the initial control word should be used to generate the first clock signal at the new desired frequency or whether the initial control word should be changed to an adjacent control word (Para.[0024]). However, Nelson et al. does not explicitly disclose that the VCO is a digitally controlled LC VCO with a bank of capacitors as recited in the claim. As would have been well known in the art, as shown in Jansson, such LC VCO with digitally controlled bank of capacitors is used in a PLL for tuning desired oscillation frequency digitally so that it can provide self calibration as taught by Jansson.

Accordingly, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use such LC VCO with digitally controlled bank of capacitors as taught by Jansson in place of the generic VCO of Nelson et al. because such modification would have been considered a mere substitution of art-recognized equivalent VCO for tuning desired oscillation frequency digitally.

Regarding claim 1, as noted above, Nelson et al. and Jansson show a tuning circuit (300) comprising a VCO (24), a frequency divider (38), frequency control circuitry (34), logic circuitry (32). It is noted that functional limitations recited in the claim inherently present in the modified circuitry above because of intrinsic functionality of phase locked loop circuits used in communication system.

Regarding claims 2 and 8, Nelson et al. discloses the logic circuitry (state machine 32) determines an initial control word (L, Para.[0028]) to configure the bank by using a search ("identify the two VCO operating curves ..." Para.[0024]) having an

accuracy that is +-1 LSB ("just above and just below ..." Para.[0024]) of the initial control word (center frequency control signal L).

Regarding claims 3 and 9, Nelson et al. discloses the logic circuitry (state machine 32) tests an initial control word (L, Para.[0018]) by comparing the desired frequency to upper (max) and lower (min) bounds of a frequency range for the voltage controlled oscillator while configured according to the initial control word (L, Para [0018]).

Regarding claim 4 and 10, Nelson et al. discloses fast comparisons take place when SW2 is closed and more precise comparisons take place when SW1 is closed. (Para.[0022]).

Regarding claim 5 and 11, Nelson et al. discloses testing the initial control word (L) within a predetermined threshold (Max and Min, Para[0018]).

Regarding claim 6 and 12, Figure 3 of Nelson et al. shows divider 38 dividing signal from the VCO 24, and actual frequency (V) that is output of 38.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2817

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Chang whose telephone number is 571 272-1759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 0700-1730.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOSEPH CHANG/PRIMARY EXAMINER